STATE OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION,)	
on its own motion,)	Docket No. 01-0662
)	
Investigation concerning Illinois Bell)	
Telephone Company's compliance)	
with Section 271 of the)	
Telecommunications Act of 1996)	

REPLY BRIEF

OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

Janice A. Dale Chief, Public Utilities Bureau 100 West Randolph Street 11th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 814-3736 Jdale@atg.state.il.us

Susan L. Satter
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Bureau
100 West Randolph Street
11th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 814-1104
Ssatter@atg.state.il.us

August 28, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction		1
II.	Conditional Co	bjection to State Commission Requirements, AI Admits to mpliance with Several Commission Orders Which Rejected AI	4
		em (i): Compensation for Single Point of Interconnection	
	B. Checklist I	tem 1 – Collocation Requirements of Section 13-801 of the PUA	7
	C. Checklist in	tem 2 – Existing UNE Combinations	9
	D. Checklist It	em 5 – Unbundled Local Transport	10
		assion Is Entitled and Obligated to Require Compliance with its as Part of the Section 271 Process	
III.	Commission's): AI's Initial Brief Indicates That It Is Not Complying With This Orders Concerning Line Splitting and Line Sharing, and Shows a Opening the Local Market for Advanced Services.	11
		tial Brief Leaves Doubt About Its Commitment to Line Sharing itting.	11
		itial Brief Leaves The Impression That It Cannot Provide Line or Line Splitting over Project Pronto or Fiber-Fed Loops	15
IV.	Reciprocal Cor	(xiii): AI's Policy of Preventing Carriers from Opting In to npensation Interconnection Provisions Does Not Comply with (xiii)	18
		AI's Failure to Differentiate Non-ISP-Bound Traffic from ISP-Bound Traffic for Reciprocal Compensation Violates Checklist Item (xiii).	18
		AI Violates the FCC ISP Compensation Remand Order by Preventing Carriers from Opting Into State Approved Reciprocal Compensation Interconnection Provisions Because it Has Not Elected Rate Caps.	20
		i	

V.	Checklist Item (xiv) and the Public Interest: Al Should Be Obligated to Offer High Speed Internet Access for Resale under ASCENT I and II Because its	
	Affiliate Offers High Speed Internet Access to End-Users.	21
	A. AI's Affiliate Structure Cannot Be Used to Avoid the Resale Obligations Imposed under Federal Law	
	B. The People Agree with Staff That AI's Policy of Bundling Voice and DSL Service Is Anticompetitive and Contrary to the Public Interest in the Development of Competition for Advanced Services	
VI	Conclusion	28